

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

THE NEW YORK TIMES, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 16, 1986

The New York Times

Founded in 1851

ADOLPH S. OCHS, *Publisher 1896-1935*
ARTHUR HAYS SULZBERGER, *Publisher 1935-1961*
ORVILLE DRYFOOS, *Publisher 1961-1963*

ARTHUR OCHS SULZBERGER, *Publisher*

A. M. ROSENTHAL, *Executive Editor*

SEYMOUR TOPPING, *Managing Editor*

ARTHUR GELB, *Deputy Managing Editor*

JAMES L. GREENFIELD, *Assistant Managing Editor*

MAX FRANKEL, *Editorial Page Editor*

JACK ROSENTHAL, *Deputy Editorial Page Editor*

JOHN D. POMFRET, *Exec. V.P., General Manager*

RUSSELL T. LEWIS, *Sr. V.P., Circulation*

LANCE R. PRIMES, *Sr. V.P., Advertising*

J. A. RIGGS JR., *Sr. V.P., Operations*

HOWARD BISHOP, *V.P., Employee Relations*

JOHN M. O'BRIEN, *V.P., Controller*

ELISE J. ROSS, *V.P., Systems*

The Bombs of April

A spiral of violence . . . a ladder of escalation . . . a slippery slope plunging inevitably toward wider war: it was the morning after the raid on Libya and audible amid the expressions of sober satisfaction were undercurrents of concern. The Senate majority leader, Bob Dole, said: "It's my view that once you start down this road, there's no turning back." The minority leader, Robert Byrd, asked, "Are we going to do this again and again?" Would the Bombs of April, like the Guns of August of 72 years ago, lead uncontrollably to much worse?

The slope is surely slippery, but it is not inevitable. On the contrary, the rush to generalize misses the first lesson that society should have learned from two decades of terrorist acts: take them case by case. There is no single road to turn back from. Countries cannot — should not — respond to every terrorist act with force.

Sometimes, the right response is negotiation — as in 1980 when the United States Ambassador to Colombia and 15 other hostages were released after two months. Sometimes there's nothing to be done except stand back in frustration, as with the six American hostages still held in Lebanon. Sometimes the only way to be strong is to be patient, recognizing that to lash back with unfocused anger is to commit a perverse reverse terrorism.

But sometimes, clear conditions permit and even require a forceful response, as they did in this case:

1. *Was the terrorist act sponsored by a government?* Yes. The April 5 bombing of the West Berlin disco, in which two were killed and 230 injured, was not the work of some shadowy revolutionary faction but of agents commanded by Libya's Colonel Qaddafi. He has for years financed, sheltered and applauded terrorists who have mined harbors, gunned down air passengers, blown up airliners and otherwise attacked the West.

2. *Is the evidence clear?* Yes. The United

States' documentation of a Libyan plot to bomb the disco has persuaded dubious foreign governments and skeptical members of Congress. "It's the kind of proof I'd go to court with," says Senator Patrick Leahy, Vermont Democrat, a former prosecutor.

3. *Have other remedies been exhausted?* Yes. The surest response would be joint action — and for months that has been America's goal. Let us, we have asked our European allies, impose joint economic sanctions on Colonel Qaddafi; at least let us bar his commercial air traffic. For months the typical reaction has been: "You can't ask that. We do a lot of business there!" Through the last week, the United States appears to have walked the last mile in search of alternatives to military action.

4. *Is the response proportionate to the terrorist act?* Probably, though it's too early to be sure. For all the easy clichés about "surgical strikes," bombing raids are hard to control. This one exacted some painful costs — the evident loss of an F-111, damage to the French Embassy, the reported death of Colonel Qaddafi's adopted infant daughter. But the targets chosen by the Pentagon seemed appropriate — terrorist headquarters and training bases and military airfields.

5. *Beyond retribution, is the attack likely to further a constructive goal like deterring terrorism?* Yes, and not only by Libyans. If Colonel Qaddafi intends to continue his terror agenda, he knows it will now be harder, and costlier. Other governments that sponsor or tolerate terrorists, Syria for instance, will have to recalculate the cost.

For the United States to have failed to act when all these conditions were clearly met would have said to the world: "Go ahead. Shoot. Bomb. Kill. This tiger never bites." There have been times in the shadow war of terror when the tiger could do no more than snarl and twitch his tail — and there will be others. On Monday, for once, America could justifiably send a different message. This tiger bites.